

ALGEBRAIC (VOLUME) DENSITY PROPERTY FOR AFFINE HOMOGENEOUS SPACES

SHULIM KALIMAN AND FRANK KUTZSCHEBAUCH

ABSTRACT. Let X be a connected affine homogenous space of a linear algebraic group G over $\mathbb{C}$. (1) If X is different from a line or a torus we show that the space of all algebraic vector fields on X coincides with the Lie algebra generated by complete algebraic vector fields on X . (2) Suppose that X has a G -invariant volume form ω . We prove that the space of all divergence-free (with respect to ω) algebraic vector fields on X coincides with the Lie algebra generated by divergence-free complete algebraic vector fields on X (including the cases when X is a line or a torus). The proof of these results requires new criteria for algebraic (volume) density property based on so called module generating pairs.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we continue to study algebraic (volume) density property in the framework of the Andersen-Lempert theory for review of which we refer to [14], [8], and [5]). Recall that a smooth complex affine algebraic variety X possesses an algebraic volume form if it admits a nowhere vanishing top algebraic differential form ω (or, equivalently, a nowhere vanishing section of its canonical bundle). We consider the set $\text{IVF}(X)$ of complete algebraic vector fields, its subset $\text{IVF}_\omega(X)$ that consists of fields of zero divergence with respect to ω , and the Lie algebra $\text{Lie}_{\text{alg}}(X)$ (resp. $\text{Lie}_{\text{alg}}^\omega(X)$) generated by $\text{IVF}(X)$ (resp. $\text{IVF}_\omega(X)$).

Definition 1.1. We say that a smooth affine algebraic variety X (over $\mathbb{C}$) has the algebraic density property (ADP) if the Lie algebra $\text{Lie}_{\text{alg}}(X)$ generated by the set $\text{IVF}(X)$ of complete algebraic vector fields coincides with the space $\text{AVF}(X)$ of all algebraic vector fields on X . Similarly in the presence of ω we can speak about the algebraic volume density property (AVDP) if $\text{Lie}_{\text{alg}}^\omega(X)$ coincides with the space $\text{AVF}_\omega(X)$ of all algebraic vector fields that have ω -divergence zero (and we call such fields divergence-free).

In [4] and [10] the following facts were established.

Theorem 1.2. *Let G be a connected linear algebraic group, R be a proper reductive subgroup of G , and X be the homogeneous space $X = G/R$.*

Key words and phrases. affine space, density property, volume density property, homogeneous spaces, flexible variety.

Acknowledgements: This research was started during a visit of the second author to the University of Miami, Coral Gables. He thanks this institutions for its generous support and excellent working conditions. The research of the second author was partially supported by Schweizerische Nationalfonds grant No. 200021-153120/1.

(1) Suppose that $X = G/R$ is not isomorphic to a line or a torus $(\mathbb{C}^*)^k$. Then X has ADP.

(2) Suppose that X has a left-invariant (with respect to the natural G -action) volume form ω . Then X has AVDP with respect to ω .

The requirement that R is reductive enables us to insure that G/R is affine by the Matsushima theorem [12]. However not every affine homogeneous space of a linear algebraic group can be presented in this form (e.g., see [15]). Therefore the aim of this paper is to strengthen Theorem 1.2 as follows.

Theorem 1.3. *Let X be a connected affine homogeneous space of a linear algebraic group G .*

(1) *Suppose that X is not isomorphic to a line or a torus $(\mathbb{C}^*)^k$. Then X has ADP.*

(2) *Suppose that X has a left-invariant (with respect to the natural G -action) volume form ω . Then X has AVDP with respect to ω .*

The proof of this theorem requires new methods for establishing ADP and AVDP. It is the special case when the “semisimple part” of the homogeneous space in question is $SL_2/\mathbb{C}^*$ which we are unable to attack with methods developed earlier. If “semisimple part” is different from $SL_2/\mathbb{C}^*$ (so-called general case) then the old technique works.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we recall the main criterion (Theorem 2.2) for proving AVDP based on the concept of semi-compatible pairs developed in [10]. Then we introduce a more general notion of a module generating pair and explain that Theorem 2.2 is true for such pairs as well. In section 3 we recall known facts about semi-compatible pairs and an important existence result for them from [4] which will be used in the general case. In section 4 we recall results about the structure of affine homogeneous spaces from the work of Snow [15]. In the next section we establish condition (A) of Theorem 2.2 for the general case and in the section thereafter condition (B) for all affine homogeneous spaces. We summarize these results in the next section, proving part (2) of our main theorem in the general case. Section 8 gives a new technique of proving ADP. It is a generalization of the technique of compatible pairs developed in [7] and it is well adapted to prove ADP for the total space of certain Zariski locally trivial fibrations with base and fibre being flexible. In section 9 we present a criterion for proving the existence of a module generating pair in the case of similar fibrations. In the next section we can complete part (2) of our main theorem by closely analyzing the special case and pointing out how the techniques in sections 8 and 9 can be applied. The last section is devoted to the proof of part (1) of our main theorem which in the general case uses methods developed in our earlier work and in the special case again appeals to our new techniques from section 8.

2. MAIN CRITERION FOR AVDP

The aim of this section is to recall the main criterion for AVDP.

Notation 2.1. For the rest of the paper X is always a **smooth affine irreducible algebraic variety over $\mathbb{C}$** . We consider often a situation when X is equipped also

with an **effective** algebraic action of a group Γ . We call such an X a Γ -variety and for the rest of the paper Γ is always **finite**. The ring of regular Γ -invariant functions will be denoted by $\mathbb{C}[X, \Gamma]$; it is naturally isomorphic to the ring $\mathbb{C}[X/\Gamma]$ of regular functions on the quotient space X/Γ .

Definition 2.2. (1) Recall that a holomorphic vector field ξ on X is called complete if there is a holomorphic $\mathbb{C}_+$ -action $\Phi : \mathbb{C} \times X \rightarrow X$ such that $\xi(f) = \frac{d}{dt} f \circ \Phi(t, *)|_{t=0}$ for every holomorphic function f on X . This action Φ is called the phase flow of ξ . When Φ is an algebraic $\mathbb{C}_+$ -action the field ξ is called locally nilpotent, and when Φ factors through $\mathbb{C}^* \times X$ and generates an algebraic $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action then ξ is called semi-simple.

(2) Let ξ and η be nontrivial complete algebraic vector fields on a Γ -variety X which are Γ -invariant. We say that the pair (ξ, η) is Γ -semi-compatible if

the span of $(\text{Ker } \xi \cap \mathbb{C}(X, \Gamma)) \cdot (\text{Ker } \eta \cap \mathbb{C}(X, \Gamma))$ contains a nonzero ideal of $\mathbb{C}[X, \Gamma]$.

The largest ideal contained in the span will be called the associate Γ -ideal of the pair (ξ, η) . In the case of a trivial Γ we say that the pair (ξ, η) is semi-compatible. In this terminology Γ -semi-compatibility of (ξ, η) is equivalent to semi-compatibility of (ξ', η') where ξ' and η' are the vector fields on X/Γ induced by ξ and η .

(3) A Γ -semi-compatible pair (ξ, η) is called Γ -compatible, if ξ is locally nilpotent and there exists $a \in \text{Ker } \eta \cap \mathbb{C}[X, \Gamma]$ such that $\xi(a) \neq 0$ and $\xi^2(a) = 0$ (i.e. the ξ -degree of a is 1). In the case of trivial Γ -action we say that the pair is compatible.

Example 2.3. (1) Consider the direct product $X = X_1 \times X_2$ of affine algebraic varieties and let ξ_i be a nontrivial complete algebraic vector field on X_i . Suppose that η_i is the natural lift of ξ_i to X and treat $\mathbb{C}[X_i]$ as a subring of $\mathbb{C}[X]$. Note that η_1 and η_2 are semi-compatible since $\mathbb{C}[X_2] \subset \text{Ker } \eta_1$ and $\mathbb{C}[X_1] \subset \text{Ker } \eta_2$ and thus $\mathbb{C}[X_1] \cdot \mathbb{C}[X_2] \subset \text{Ker } \eta_1 \cdot \text{Ker } \eta_2$.

(2) If in (1) ξ_1 is locally nilpotent then the pair is automatically compatible. Indeed, there is always $a \in \mathbb{C}[X_1]$ of ξ -degree 1 and it belongs to the kernel of ξ_2 .

Notation 2.4. (1) Suppose that X is equipped with an algebraic volume form ω . Let $\mathcal{C}_k(X)$ be the space of algebraic differential k -forms on X and $\mathcal{Z}_k(X)$ and $\mathcal{B}_k(X)$ be its subspaces of closed and exact k -forms respectively. If $\dim X = n$ then there exists an isomorphism $\Theta : \text{AVF}_\omega(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_{n-1}(X)$ given by the formula $\xi \rightarrow \iota_\xi \omega$ where $\iota_\xi \omega$ is the interior product of ω and $\xi \in \text{AVF}_\omega(X)$.

(2) In addition to (1) suppose that X be a Γ -variety. Then for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$ one has

$$\omega \circ \gamma = \chi_\omega(\gamma) \omega$$

where χ_ω is a map from Γ into the group of invertible regular functions on X . In general $\chi_\omega(\gamma)$ may not be constant but we shall show later that for our proof it is enough to consider the case in which χ_ω is a character of Γ . We denote by $\text{Lie}_{\text{alg}}^\omega(X, \Gamma)$ the Lie algebra generated by Γ -invariant complete algebraic vector fields of ω -divergence zero. Similarly $\text{AVF}_\omega(X, \Gamma)$ will be the space of algebraic Γ -invariant divergence-free vector fields. Such fields can be also viewed as elements of $\text{AVF}_\omega(X/\Gamma)$. By $\mathcal{C}_k(X, \Gamma)$ we

denote the space of algebraic k -forms α such that

$$\alpha \circ \gamma = \chi_\omega(\gamma)\alpha$$

for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$. By $\mathcal{Z}_k(X, \Gamma)$ and $\mathcal{B}_k(X, \Gamma)$ we denote the subspaces of closed and exact forms in $\mathcal{C}_k(X, \Gamma)$. The restriction of $\Theta : \text{AVF}_\omega(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_{n-1}(X)$ generates an isomorphism between $\text{AVF}_\omega(X, \Gamma)$ and $\mathcal{Z}_{n-1}(X, \Gamma)$.

(3) The set of complete Γ -invariant divergence-free algebraic vector fields will be denoted by $\text{IVF}_\omega(X, \Gamma)$ and the Lie algebra generated by it by $\text{Lie}_{\text{alg}}^\omega(X, \Gamma)$.

The following fact is our main tool for establishing AVDP.

Theorem 2.5. ([10, Theorem 2]) *Let X be a Γ -variety equipped with an algebraic volume form ω , χ_ω be a character of Γ , and let $(\xi_j, \eta_j)_{j=1}^k$ be pairs of Γ -semi-compatible divergence-free vector fields. Let I_j be the Γ -ideal associated with (ξ_j, η_j) and $I_j(x) = \{f(x) | f \in I_j\}$ for $x \in X$. Suppose that*

(A) *for every $x \in X$ the set $\{I_j(x)\xi_j(x) \wedge \eta_j(x)\}_{j=1}^k$ generates $\Lambda^2 T_x X$.*

Suppose also that the following condition is true

(B) *the image of $\Theta(\text{Lie}_{\text{alg}}^\omega(X, \Gamma))$ under De Rham homomorphism $\Phi_{n-1} : \mathcal{Z}_{n-1}(X) \rightarrow H^{n-1}(X, \mathbb{C})$ coincides with the subspace $\Phi_{n-1}(\mathcal{Z}_{n-1}(X, \Gamma))$ of $H^{n-1}(X, \mathbb{C})$.*

Then $\Theta(\text{Lie}_{\text{alg}}^\omega(X, \Gamma)) = \mathcal{Z}_{n-1}(X, \Gamma)$ and therefore $\text{Lie}_{\text{alg}}^\omega(X, \Gamma) = \text{AVF}_\omega(X, \Gamma)$.

Definition 2.6. Following [10, Definition 3.14] we say that in the case of equality $\text{Lie}_{\text{alg}}^\omega(X, \Gamma) = \text{AVF}_\omega(X, \Gamma)$ the variety X possesses Γ -AVDP (with respect to ω).

Remark 2.7. It is worth explaining the reason for Condition (A) in Theorem 2.5. Consider the differential map $d : \mathcal{C}_{n-2}(X, \Gamma) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{n-1}(X, \Gamma)$ and let (ξ_i, η_i) be a pair of Γ -semi-compatible divergence-free vector fields associated with Γ -ideal I_i . Then it was proven in [10] that $d^{-1}(\Theta(\text{Lie}_{\text{alg}}^\omega(X, \Gamma)))$ contains the $\mathbb{C}[X, \Gamma]$ -submodule of $\mathcal{C}_{n-2}(X, \Gamma)$ that consists of all $(n-2)$ -forms that can be presented as $f\iota_{\xi_i}\iota_{\eta_i}\omega$ with $f \in I_i$. Condition (A) guarantees that

$$\sum_i I_i \iota_{\xi_i} \iota_{\eta_i} \omega = \mathcal{C}_{n-2}(X, \Gamma)$$

and therefore $\Theta(\text{Lie}_{\text{alg}}^\omega(X, \Gamma))$ contains $\mathcal{B}_{n-1}(X, \Gamma)$. In combination with Condition (B) this yields the equality $\Theta(\text{Lie}_{\text{alg}}^\omega(X, \Gamma)) = \mathcal{Z}_{n-1}(X, \Gamma)$ and thus Γ -AVDP for X .

Definition 2.8. Let (ξ, η) be a pair of Γ -invariant divergence-free algebraic vector fields on X . We say that this pair (ξ, η) is Γ -module generating if $d^{-1}(\Theta(\text{Lie}_{\text{alg}}^\omega(X, \Gamma)))$ contains the $\mathbb{C}[X, \Gamma]$ -submodule of $\mathcal{C}_{n-2}(X, \Gamma)$ that consists of all $(n-2)$ -forms that can be presented as $f\iota_\xi\iota_\eta\omega$ with f in a Γ -ideal J . The largest such ideal J will be called the ideal associated with the pair, or shortly the associated ideal.

Remark 2.9. Remark 2.7 shows that a pair of Γ -semi-compatible divergence-free complete vector fields is Γ -module generating. Moreover it is easily seen that Theorem 2.5

remains valid if instead of requiring that pairs (ξ_i, η_i) are Γ -semi-compatible divergence-free vector fields one assume that they are Γ -module generating.

We introduce this new definition of module generating fields (which by the way need not to be complete) since in the special low dimensional case mentioned in the introduction the semi-compatibility alone does not work.

3. SEMI-COMPATIBILITY

Finding semi-compatible pairs of vector fields on X is crucial and hence we are going to exploit criteria developed in our previous papers some of which are collected in the next Proposition (see [7, Proposition 3.4], [4, Theorem 12], and [10, Remark 2.5, Propositions 2.4, and 2.13]).

Proposition 3.1. (1) *Let ξ_1 be a locally nilpotent vector field on X with a finitely generated kernel and let ξ_2 be either a similar field or a semi-simple one. Suppose that $\varrho_i : X \rightarrow X_i := \text{Spec Ker } \xi_i$ is the natural morphism and $\varrho = (\varrho_1, \varrho_2) : X \rightarrow X_1 \times X_2$. Then the pair (ξ_1, ξ_2) is semi-compatible if and only if $Z := \varrho(X)$ is closed in $X_1 \times X_2$ and ϱ induces a finite birational morphism $X \rightarrow Z$.*

(2) *Let X admit a fixed point free non-degenerate SL_2 -action (i.e. general SL_2 -orbits are of dimension 3) and let U_1 (resp. U_2) be the unipotent subgroup of upper (resp. lower) triangular matrices in SL_2 . Suppose that ξ_1 and ξ_2 are the locally nilpotent vector fields associated with the induced actions of the $\mathbb{C}_+$ -groups U_1 and U_2 on X . Then (ξ_1, ξ_2) is a compatible (and in particular semi-compatible) pair.*

(3) *Let ξ_1 and ξ_2 be two semi-simple vector fields on X such that they commute, i.e. they induce a $\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^*$ -action on X . Suppose that the algebraic quotient morphism $\pi : X \rightarrow W := X/(\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^*)$ is smooth and all orbits of the action are two-dimensional. Then the pair (ξ_1, ξ_2) is semi-compatible.*

(4) *Let X be a Γ -variety, ξ_1 and ξ_2 be semi-compatible Γ -invariant vector fields on X . Suppose that ξ'_1 and ξ'_2 are the induced vector fields on $X' = X/\Gamma$ and one of the following conditions holds:*

(i) *ξ_1 and ξ_2 are locally nilpotent and they generate a Lie algebra isomorphic to $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ that induces a fixed point free non-degenerate algebraic SL_2 -action on X ;*

(ii) *$[\xi_1, \xi_2] = 0$ and ξ_1 is a locally nilpotent vector field with a finitely generated kernel while ξ_2 is either locally nilpotent (also with a finitely generated kernel) or semi-simple.*

Then the pair (ξ', η') is semi-compatible, i.e. (ξ, η) is Γ -semi-compatible.

Definition 3.2. (1) For any vector field σ on X a field $f\sigma$ with $f \in \text{Ker } \sigma$ is called a replica of σ .

(2) Suppose that $\mathcal{N}$ is a collection of locally nilpotent vector fields and let F be the subgroup of $\text{Aut}(X)$ generated by the elements of phase flows of fields from $\mathcal{N}$ and their replicas. We say that X is F -flexible if F acts transitively on X , or, equivalently, for every $x \in X$ the set $\{\sigma|_x | \sigma \in \mathcal{N}\}$ generates $T_x X$ (see [1] for properties of such varieties).

In the case when $\mathcal{N}$ is the set of all locally nilpotent vector fields we call X flexible and denote F by $\text{SAut}(X)$.

The next claim is a combination of Lemma 5.1 and Remark 5.2 from [10].

Proposition 3.3. *Let X be a flexible affine algebraic manifold that possesses a pair of semi-compatible vector fields. Then X satisfies Condition (A) of Theorem 2.5 (with trivial Γ).*

We need an equivariant version of Proposition 3.3 which requires the following technical fact.

Lemma 3.4. *Let Γ be a finite group acting on an affine algebraic variety X and σ be a locally nilpotent Γ -invariant vector field on X . Then for a general point $x \in X$ and every vectors u and $v \in T_x X$ such that u, v and the value of the field σ at x are linearly independent, one has a regular Γ -invariant function f on X for which $f(x) = 0$, $df(u) = 0$ and $df(v) \neq 0$.*

Proof. Since x is a general point we can suppose that the image a of x under the quotient morphism $\tau : X \rightarrow A = \text{Spec Ker } \sigma$ is a general point, i.e. there is a neighborhood of a in A which is a smooth affine algebraic variety such that the restriction of τ over this neighborhood is a trivial $\mathbb{A}^1$ -fibration. By linear independence the images u' and v' of u and v under $d\tau$ are non-proportional vectors in $T_a A$. Because of smoothness of A at a one can choose a function g on A for which $g(a) = 0$, $dg(u') = 0$ and $dg(v') \neq 0$. Furthermore, because of commutativity Γ acts on A and this function g can be chosen as a lift a function on A/Γ (since A/Γ is also smooth at the image of the general point a). This implies that the lift f of g to X is Γ -invariant which yields the desired function. □

Proposition 3.5. *Let X be a Γ -variety and $\varphi : X \rightarrow T$ be a morphism. Let $\mathcal{N}$ and F be as in Definition 3.2 with all elements of $\mathcal{N}$ being Γ -invariant. Suppose that the action of F preserves a fiber Z of φ with $\dim Z \geq 3$. Furthermore, let Z be F -flexible. Suppose $u \wedge v$ is a nonzero element of $\Lambda^2 T_x Z$ at some $x \in Z$. Consider the subgroup F_Γ of F that commutes with Γ and the isotropy group F_Γ^x of x in F_Γ . Then the orbit of $u \wedge v$ under the action of F_Γ^x (resp. F_Γ) generates $\Lambda^2 T_x Z$ (resp. $\Lambda^2 T Z$).*

Proof. Recall that for every locally nilpotent vector field σ on X whose value at x is $w \in T_x X$ and a function $f \in \text{Ker } \sigma$ that vanishes at x the phase flow of $f\sigma$ sends any vector $\nu \in T_x X$ into the vector $\nu + tdf(\nu)w$ where $t \in \mathbb{C}$ is the time parameter of the flow. Since Z is F -flexible the fields $\sigma \in \mathcal{N}$ generate $T_x Z$ and we can suppose that u, v , and w are linearly independent (because $\dim Z \geq 3$). Thus choosing (by Lemma 3.4) a Γ -invariant f so that $df(u) = 0$ and $df(v) \neq 0$ we can transform $u \wedge v$ into $u \wedge v + u \wedge w$ under the action of the phase flow of a Γ -invariant replica of σ . That is, we can get $u \wedge w$ in the span of the F_Γ^x -orbit of $u \wedge v$. Applying the same argument one can get $w_1 \wedge w$ from $u \wedge w$. Because the fields $\sigma \in \mathcal{N}$ generate $T_x Z$ we can view $w_1 \wedge w$ as a general element of $\Lambda^2 T_x Z$ and thus the orbit of $u \wedge v$ under the action of F_Γ^x generates $\Lambda^2 T_x Z$. Since F acts transitively on Z we have the desired conclusion. □

4. AFFINE HOMOGENEOUS SPACES

Notation 4.1. From now on G denotes a complex linear algebraic group and H is a proper closed algebraic subgroup of G . Let U (resp. V) be the unipotent radical of G (resp. H). That is, $G = M \ltimes U$ and $H = L \ltimes V$ where M (resp. L) is a maximal reductive subgroup of G (resp. H). By the Cartan-Iwasawa-Malcev theorem we can suppose further that L is contained in M .

Recall the following (e.g., see [15]).

Theorem 4.2. (1) *The homogeneous space G/H is affine if and only if G/V is affine.*
 (2) *Let G be a unipotent linear algebraic group. Then $G/H \simeq \mathbb{C}^n$. Moreover, if G is solvable then $G/H \simeq \mathbb{C}^n \times (\mathbb{C}^*)^m$.*

Remark 4.3. Note that Theorem 1.2 implies AVDP for $\mathbb{C}^n$ and $\mathbb{C}^n \times (\mathbb{C}^*)^m$ and thus it suffices to consider the case when G is not solvable. Similarly, we suppose that U is nontrivial since otherwise G/H is affine if and only if H is reductive (Matsushima's theorem), i.e. we have AVDP by Theorem 1.2. Furthermore, by analogous reasons we can suppose that V is not contained in U (see, [10, Remark 6.3]).

Notation 4.4. Note that M acts naturally on G/V and since M is reductive the quotient $Y = M \backslash G/V$ is affine when G/V is such. Furthermore, there is the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G & \xrightarrow{V} & G/V \\ \downarrow M & & \downarrow M \\ U & \xrightarrow{V} & Y. \end{array}$$

The next fact from [15, Proposition 1] elaborates it further.

Proposition 4.5. *If G/H is affine then G/V is M -equivariantly isomorphic to $M \times Y$ and U is V -equivariantly isomorphic to $Y \times V$.*

Remark 4.6. (1) Note that U and V are Euclidean spaces and therefore Y is a factor in the cancellation problem.

(2) The variety Y can be viewed as a submanifold of U through identity $e \in U$ such that it is invariant under conjugation by any element of L . More precisely, consider the natural V -equivariant morphism from $U \simeq Y \times V$ to V (from Proposition 4.5) and the conjugates $U \rightarrow V$ of this morphism by elements of a maximal compact subgroup $L_{\mathbb{R}}$ of L . Taking the average of these morphisms with respect to the Haar measure on $L_{\mathbb{R}}$ one gets an L -equivariant map $\kappa : U \rightarrow V$. Then $\kappa^{-1}(e)$ is the desired submanifold Y (see the proof of Theorem 3 in [15]).

In particular there is a natural action of L on Y induced by conjugations. Furthermore, the following is true [15].

Lemma 4.7. *The homogeneous space G/H is isomorphic to $M \times_L Y$. In particular there is a natural projection $\theta : G/H \rightarrow M/L$.*

Convention 4.8. (1) From now on we suppose that $X = G/H$ is affine.

(2) Let S be a Levi subgroup of M , i.e. $M = S \ltimes T$ where T is a torus. For every homogenous space G/H one can suppose without loss of generality (by going over to a covering), that $M = S \times T$, i.e., $S \cap T = \{e\}$ which we shall do below. Furthermore, we suppose that H and therefore L are **connected**.

Remark 4.9. Of course, we need to consider more general affine homogeneous spaces $X' = G/H'$ where H' is not necessarily connected but we can always suppose that H is a connected component of H' while L is a connected component of a maximal reductive subgroup L' of H' such that $L' \subset M$. Note that $L' = H'/V$ and $L = H/V$. Hence the finite group $\Gamma \simeq H'/H \simeq (H'/V)/(H/V) \simeq L'/L$ acts on $X = G/H$ and $X' = X/\Gamma$. Furthermore, note that Γ acts on X from the right, i.e. this action commutes with the natural action of G on X .

Recall also that in the presence of a G -invariant volume form ω on G/H' to establish AVDP for G/H' is the same as to establish Γ -AVDP for X .

Notation 4.10. Let Notation 4.1 and 4.4 hold and S, X and Γ be as in Convention 4.8 and Remark 4.9, i.e. $M = S \times T$ where T is a torus. Let T_0 be the image of L under the natural homomorphism $M \rightarrow T$. Then there exists a subtorus $T_1 \subset T$ such that $T = T_0 \times T_1$. That is every $t \in T$ can be presented as $t = t_0 t_1$ where $t_i \in T_i$ and by the Mostow theorem [13] every $g \in G$ is of form $g = t_0 t_1 s u$ where $s \in S$ and $u \in U$. Consider the composition $\psi : G \rightarrow T$ of the natural quotient homomorphisms $G \rightarrow M$ and $M \rightarrow T$ and the composition $\psi_1 : G \rightarrow T_1$ of ψ and the natural projection $T \rightarrow T_1$. In particular $\psi(g) = t_0 t_1$ and $\psi_1(g) = t_1$.

The composition of morphism $\theta : X \rightarrow M/L$ from Lemma 4.7 and the natural projection $M/L \rightarrow T_1$ will be denoted by $\varphi : X \rightarrow T_1$.

Lemma 4.11. *The homomorphism ψ_1 coincides with the composition of $\varrho : G \rightarrow G/H = X$ and φ . Furthermore every fiber of φ is an orbit of the natural action of the group $E = S \ltimes U$ on X , i.e. such a fiber Z is a flexible variety (by [1, Proposition 5.4]).*

Proof. Note that $\psi(L) = T_0$ and by the fundamental theorem of algebra $\psi(V)$ coincides with the identity $e \in T$. Thus by the Mostow theorem $\psi(H) = \psi(LV) = T_0$. Since $T_0 \subset \text{Ker } \psi_1$ we see that the homomorphism ψ_1 (sending $g = t_0 t_1 s u$ to t_1) factors through φ (sending the coset $gH = gL \cdot V = t_0 t_1 s L \tilde{u} V \in M \times_L Y$ to t_1 via $t_0 t_1 s L \in M/L$) which implies the first statement.

Since U is normal in G and T is in the center of M the orbit Eg of g can be written as $SU t_0 t_1 s u = t_1 t_0 S U$. Multiplying this orbit by L from the right we get $Eg L = t_1 t_0 S U L = t_1 t_0 S L U = t_1 T_0 S U$ since $SL = T_0 S$. Note that ϱ sends each H -orbit and therefore each L -orbit to a point, i.e. $\varrho(Eg) = \varrho(Eg L)$. Since $\psi_1^{-1}(t_1) = t_1 T_0 S U$ we see that $\varrho(Eg) = \varrho(\psi_1^{-1}(t_1)) = \varphi^{-1}(t_1)$ which yields the second statement. $\square$

Remark 4.12. The first statement of Lemma 4.11 can be presented via the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 G & \longrightarrow & G/U = M & \longrightarrow & T & \longrightarrow & T_1 \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \simeq \\
 G/V = M \times Y & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & T & \longrightarrow & T_1 \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \simeq \\
 G/H = M \times_L Y & \longrightarrow & M/L & \longrightarrow & & & T_1.
 \end{array}$$

We finish this section with some very special situation which will be crucial for finding a locally nilpotent vector field in Sections 10 and 11.

Proposition 4.13. *Let $M = S = SL_2$, $L \simeq \mathbb{C}^*$ be the group of diagonal matrices in M , the image of H in M under the natural morphism $\Theta : G \rightarrow M$ be the group of upper triangular matrices in M , and $V_0 = V \cap U$ be different from V . Then $Y \times \mathbb{C}$ is isomorphic to a Euclidean space. Moreover Y admits a nontrivial locally nilpotent vector field η .*

Proof. Note that $\Theta(V)$ is a unipotent subgroup of the group of the upper triangular (2×2) -matrices, i.e. $\Theta(V) \simeq \mathbb{C}_+$. Since $\text{Ker } \Theta|_V = V_0$ we have $V/V_0 \simeq \mathbb{C}_+$. Now we can use the argument in the proof of [15, Proposition 1]. Namely, for $G/V_0 = M \times U/V_0$ one has the natural map $G/V_0 \rightarrow G/V$ which makes G/V_0 a bundle over G/V with fiber V/V_0 . Since G/V is affine by Theorem 4.2 this line bundle is trivial, i.e. $G/V_0 \simeq G/V \times \mathbb{C}$. Consider the quotient $Y_0 = M \setminus G/V_0 = U/V_0$. The triviality of first cohomology with coefficients in the structure sheaf of the affine variety Y implies that the principal V/V_0 -bundle $Y_0 \rightarrow Y$ is again trivial, i.e. $Y \times \mathbb{C} \simeq Y_0 = U/V_0$ where the last variety is isomorphic to a Euclidean space by Theorem 4.2. This is the desired statement. Since the ML-invariant of a Euclidean space is trivial the theorem contained in the papers of Makar-Limanov with Bandman and Crachiola ([2], [3]) implies that Y admits a nontrivial locally nilpotent vector field η . □

5. CONDITION (A)

We keep Notation 4.10 in this section.

Remark 5.1. Let $R = L \cap S$. By [10, Lemma 4.7] M/L is M -equivariantly isomorphic to $S/R \times T_1$ and M/L' is the quotient of M/L with respect to the natural action of Γ . Note that the multiplication by elements of any subgroup $F \subset S \subset M$ on $X = G/H = M \times_L Y$ from the left makes morphism $X \rightarrow M/L$ in the last row of the diagram in Remark 4.12 F -equivariant and the action of F on the base $M/L \simeq (S/R) \times T_1$ is

nothing but multiplication of cosets from S/R by elements of F from the left. Thus we have the following.

(1) If the action of F on S/R is nondegenerate (i.e. the dimension of a general orbit coincides with the dimension of F) and fixed point free then the natural action of F on X is also nondegenerate and fixed point free.

(2) Since F acts on the first factor of $S/R \times T_1$ this action preserves the fibers of φ , i.e. in (1) we have a nondegenerate and fixed point free action on each fiber $Z = \varphi^{-1}(t_1)$.

(3) Multiplication of X by elements of T_1 from the left generates a free action on the second factor of $M/L = S/R \times T_1$ which shows that morphisms $\theta : X \rightarrow M/L$ and $\varphi : X \rightarrow T_1$ are T_1 -equivariant. In particular we have the following extension of Lemma 4.11.

Proposition 5.2. *The homogeneous space X is naturally isomorphic to $Z \times T_1$ where Z is a fiber of morphism φ (which therefore plays the role of the projection onto the second factor).*

Proposition 5.3. *Let Convention 4.8 hold and X have a G -invariant volume form ω . Suppose that $X' = X/\Gamma$ is as in Remark 4.9. Then χ_ω is a character of Γ .*

Proof. By Remark 4.9 $\Gamma = L'/L$. Recall that $\psi(L) = T_0$ and thus ψ_1 induces a well-defined homomorphism $g : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma_1$ onto a finite subgroup Γ_1 of T_1 . The action generated by left multiplication of points of X by elements of G commutes with the Γ -action. Thus we can consider a new action of Γ on X which is obtained by composition of the original action of $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and the left multiplication by $g(\gamma^{-1})$. Since the left multiplication preserves ω the map χ_ω is a character if and only if the new action of Γ is also associated with a character. Thus we switch to the new action whose advantage is in preservation of fibers of morphism $\varphi : X \rightarrow T_1$. Left multiplications by elements of T_1 produce commuting linearly independent semi-simple vector fields $\nu_1, \dots, \nu_k$ (where $k = \dim T_1$) on X which are Γ -invariant. Taking interior products all of these fields with ω we get a volume form $\omega(t_1)$ on a fiber $\varphi^{-1}(t_1)$. Since $\varphi^{-1}(t_1)$ is a homogeneous space of the group E from Lemma 4.11 it is rationally connected in terminology of [9] (since the group E is) which implies that $\chi_{\omega(t_1)}$ is indeed a character by [9, Proposition 6.4].

Hence any invertible function $\chi_\omega(\gamma)$ is constant on fibers of φ and therefore it can be viewed as a function on T_1 . On the other hand since the Γ -action commutes with the left multiplications by elements of T_1 this function must be T_1 -invariant and therefore constant. Thus we get the desired conclusion. □

Notation 5.4. Consider the subgroup $\text{SAut}_E(X)$ of $\text{SAut}(X)$ generated by elements of replicas of $\mathbb{C}_+$ -actions associated with multiplications of elements of $\mathbb{C}_+$ -subgroups of $E = S \rtimes U$ (note that $\text{SAut}_E(X)$ preserves each fiber Z of φ and acts transitively on it, i.e. Z is $\text{SAut}_E(X)$ -flexible). Let Γ be the finite group acting on X from Remark 4.9, i.e. every field associated with the natural left action of a $\mathbb{C}_+$ (or $\mathbb{C}^*$) subgroup of G is Γ -invariant. Let $\text{SAut}_E^\Gamma(X)$ be the subgroup of $\text{SAut}_E(X)$ that commutes with the

action of Γ . Consider the set $\mathcal{W}$ (resp. $\mathcal{W}_1$) of wedge-products $u \wedge v \in \Lambda^2 T_z Z \subset \Lambda^2 T_z X$ such that $u, v \in T_z X$ (resp. $u, v \in T_z Z$) be the values of module generating Γ -invariant vector fields on X (resp. tangent to the fibers of φ).

By Proposition 3.5 we have the following.

Lemma 5.5. *Let $u \wedge v \in \mathcal{W}_1$ be nonzero. Then the span of the $\mathrm{SAut}_E^\Gamma(X)$ -orbit of $u \wedge v$ contains $\Lambda^2 T_z Z$.*

Since at any point $t_1 \in T_1$ pairs of vectors that are values of semi-compatible vector fields on the torus T_1 generate $\Lambda^2 T_{t_1} T_1$ (indeed, consider the pairs (ν_i, ν_j) where ν_i 's are fields from the proof of Lemma 4.2 in [10]) we have the following.

Lemma 5.6. *Let $z \in Z$ and $t_1 = \varphi(z)$. Then the natural projection $X \rightarrow T_1$ induces a map $\Lambda^2 T_z X \rightarrow \Lambda^2 T_{t_1} T_1$ such that the image of $\mathcal{W}$ coincides with $\Lambda^2 T_{t_1} T_1$.*

Proposition 5.7. *Let for every fiber Z of φ the set $\mathcal{W}_1$ from Lemma 5.5 contains a nonzero element. Then Condition (A) of Theorem 2.5 holds with module generating vector fields (see Remark 2.9).*

Proof. By Lemmas 5.5 and 5.6 it suffices to show that $\mathcal{W}$ contains all wedge-products of form $u \wedge v$ where $u \in T_z Z$ and $v \in T_z X$ is such that u is a general vector of $T_z Z$ and the image of v in $T_{t_1} T_1$ (where $t_1 = \varphi(z)$) is a vector of a basis of $T_{t_1} T_1$. Choose a $\mathbb{C}_+$ or $\mathbb{C}^*$ -subgroup of S associated with a locally nilpotent vector field ξ and a $\mathbb{C}^*$ -subgroup in T_1 associated with a semi-simple vector field η . They are semi-compatible and Γ -invariant. Thus by Proposition 3.1 they are Γ -semi-compatible, and $\xi \wedge \eta$ yields an element $u \wedge v \in \mathcal{W}$. Let us choose a general $\mathbb{C}_+$ -subgroup in E associated with a locally nilpotent vector field σ whose value at z is a general vector $w \in T_z Z$. By Lemma 3.4 we can choose a Γ -invariant replica $f\sigma$ such that its phase flow transforms $u \rightarrow u + tw$ and $v \rightarrow v + w_1$ in $T_z X$ where $t \neq 0$ and the vector $w_1 \in T_z Z \subset T_z X$ because this phase flows preserves the fibers of φ .

Hence the span of the $\mathrm{SAut}_E^\Gamma(X)$ -orbit of $u \wedge v$ contains $tw \wedge w_1 + u \wedge w_1 + tw \wedge v$. By Lemma 5.5 $tw \wedge w_1 + u \wedge w_1 \in \Lambda^2 T_z Z$ is contained in $\mathcal{W}$. Hence $w \wedge v \in \mathcal{W}$ and we are done. $\square$

6. THE VALIDITY OF CONDITION (B)

Proposition 6.1. *Condition (B) of Theorem 2.5 holds for any affine $X = G/H$.*

Proof. Recall that $X = G/H = M \times_L Y$ can be viewed as a locally trivial fibration with fiber Y over $M/L = S/R \times T_1$ where Y is contractible. In particular when $\dim Y \geq 2$ then the spectral sequence implies that $H^{n-1}(X) = 0$ (where $n = \dim X$) and Condition (B) holds automatically. If $\dim Y = 0$ then G is reductive and we are done by [10, Proposition 4.5 and Lemma 4.11]. Suppose that $\dim Y = 1$, and thus $Y \simeq \mathbb{C}$. Then the desired conclusion follows from the next Proposition. $\square$

Proposition 6.2. *Let $p : X \rightarrow B$ be a morphism of smooth affine algebraic varieties such that it is a locally trivial $\mathbb{A}^1$ -fibration. Suppose that X (resp. B) is equipped with a volume form ω (resp. ω_0), $\dim X = n$ (resp. $\dim B = n - 1$), and $\Theta : \text{AVF}(X) \rightarrow \Omega^{n-1}(X)$ is the natural isomorphism between algebraic vector fields on X and algebraic $(n-1)$ -forms induced by ω . Then for every element of $H^{n-1}(X, \mathbb{C})$ there exists a closed form $\tau \in \Omega^{n-1}(X)$ generating this element such that it is the image of a locally nilpotent vector field tangent to the fibers of p .*

Proof. Since X is a locally trivial $\mathbb{A}^1$ -fibration over B we have a natural isomorphism $p^* : H^{n-1}(B, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H^{n-1}(X, \mathbb{C})$. In particular any nonzero element of $H^{n-1}(X, \mathbb{C})$ can be presented by a closed form $\tau = p^*(\tau_0)$ where τ_0 is a closed $(n-1)$ -form on B . Hence for a general point $x \in X$ the form τ vanishes on a wedge-product $v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_{n-1}$ of vectors $v_i \in T_x X$ if and only if a nonzero linear combination of the factors is tangent to the fiber of p through x (which is equivalent to the fact that the vectors $p_*(v_1), \dots, p_*(v_{n-1})$ are linearly dependent in $T_{p(x)}B$). Since the isomorphism Θ assigns to a vector field ν the interior product $\iota_\nu(\omega)$ we see that this property of τ implies that $\nu = \Theta^{-1}(\tau)$ is tangent to the fiber of p . Recall that the restriction of Θ yields an isomorphism between the space $\text{AVF}_\omega(X)$ of divergence-free (with respect to ω) algebraic vector fields and the space $\mathcal{Z}_{n-1}(X)$ of closed $(n-1)$ -forms. Note also that for a neighborhood U of $b = p(x) \in B$ one has $p^{-1}(U) \simeq U \times \mathbb{C}$ (where the second factor has a coordinate z) and

$$\omega|_{p^{-1}(U)} = g\omega_0|_U \wedge dz$$

where g is an invertible function on U . This implies that the field $\nu = f\partial/\partial z$ (where f is a function on $p^{-1}(U)$) has a zero ω divergence if and only if the restriction of ν to $p^{-1}(b) \simeq \mathbb{C}_z$ has the zero divergence with respect to 1-form dz . The only vector fields on the line $\mathbb{C}$ with this property are locally nilpotent vector fields $a\partial/\partial z$ with $a \in \mathbb{C}$. Thus $\nu|_{p^{-1}(U)}$ is of form $h\partial/\partial z$ where h is a function on U and ν is locally nilpotent which is the desired conclusion. $\square$

7. AVDP FOR AFFINE HOMOGENEOUS SPACES, THE GENERAL CASE

In this section we consider affine homogeneous space $X = G/H$ such that under Convention 4.8 the quotient S/R is not isomorphic to $SL_2/\mathbb{C}^*$ where $\mathbb{C}^*$ is the subgroup of diagonal matrices in SL_2 .

The reason why this case is rather simple is due to the following fact [4, Theorem 24].

Proposition 7.1. *Let S be non-trivial and S/R be non-isomorphic to $SL_2/\mathbb{C}^*$. Then there is a pair locally nilpotent compatible (and in particular semi-compatible) vector fields ξ and η on X tangent to each fiber $Z = \varphi^{-1}(t_1)$ whose phase flows are associated with $\mathbb{C}_+$ -subgroups of some $F \simeq SL_2$ in S .*

Hence applying Proposition 5.7 we have the following.

Corollary 7.2. *Let S be non-trivial and S/R be non-isomorphic to $SL_2/\mathbb{C}^*$. Then condition (A) from Theorem 2.5 is valid.*

Corollary 7.2 and Proposition 6.1 imply now that all assumptions of Theorem 2.5 are valid in the special case of nontrivial S and S/R non-isomorphic to $SL_2/\mathbb{C}^*$. Since the case of a trivial S was dealt in Remark 4.3 we have the following part of our main result.

Corollary 7.3. *Let an affine $X = G/H$ have a left-invariant volume form ω and assume S/R is not isomorphic to $SL_2/\mathbb{C}^*$. Then X has AVDP with respect to ω .*

8. $\mathbb{C}[X]$ -MODULES IN $AVF(X)$

The case of $S/R \simeq SL_2/\mathbb{C}^*$ is more complicated not only for establishing AVDP but also for ADP. The next two facts will help us to deal with the latter.

Theorem 8.1. *Let $\pi : X \rightarrow B$ be a surjective morphism of smooth affine algebraic varieties, i.e. $\mathbb{C}[B]$ is naturally embedded into $\mathbb{C}[X]$. Suppose that η is a locally nilpotent or semi-simple vector field on X such that $\mathbb{C}[B] \subset \text{Ker } \eta$. Let $\alpha_i, i = 1, \dots, k$ be regular functions on B without common zeros and $D_i = (\alpha_i \circ \pi)^{-1}(0)$ (in particular $\bigcap_{i=1}^k D_i = \emptyset$). Suppose that $\xi_i, i = 1, \dots, k$ are locally nilpotent vector fields on X such that $\alpha_i \in \text{Ker } \xi_i$ (and thus ξ_i is tangent to D_i) and the restriction of the pair (ξ_i, η) is compatible on $X_i = X \setminus D_i$.*

Then there exists a nontrivial ideal $J \subset \mathbb{C}[X]$ for which $J\eta \subset \text{Lie}_{\text{alg}}(X)$.

Proof. Let $I_i \subset \mathbb{C}[X_i]$ be the associated ideal of the compatible pair (ξ_i, η) . That is, every function $h \in I_i$ can be presented in the form $h = \sum_{j=1}^n f_j g_j$ with $f_j \in \text{Ker } \xi_i \subset \mathbb{C}[X_i]$ and $g_j \in \text{Ker } \eta \subset \mathbb{C}[X_i]$. Note that for every f_j there exists a natural $m_j = m_j(f_j)$ for which $\alpha_i^{m_j} f_j \in \mathbb{C}[X]$ (and similarly $\alpha_i^{n_j} g_j \in \mathbb{C}[X]$ for some n_j). In particular for every $h \in I_j$ there exists a natural $l_i = l_i(h)$ so that $\alpha_i^{l_i} h = \sum_{j=1}^n f_j g_j$ with $f_j \in \text{Ker } \xi_i \subset \mathbb{C}[X]$ and $g_j \in \text{Ker } \eta \subset \mathbb{C}[X]$. Let $b_i = \xi_i(a_i)$ where $a_i \in \mathbb{C}[B] \setminus \text{Ker } \xi_i$ plays the role of function a from Definition 2.2 (that is, $\xi_i^2(a_i) = 0$). Using the formula

$$[f_j \xi_i, a_i g_j \eta] - [a_i f_j \xi_i, g_j \eta] = b_i f_j g_j \eta$$

we see that the field $\alpha_i^{l_i} b_i h \eta$ is contained in $\text{Lie}_{\text{alg}}(X)$. Furthermore, replacing g_j by $\beta_i g_j$ we see that $\alpha_i^{l_i} \beta_i b_i h \eta$ is contained in $\text{Lie}_{\text{alg}}(X)$ for every $\beta_i \in \mathbb{C}[B]$. Thus for a given i and every element $e = b_i h$ of the ideal $L_i = b_i I_i$ the field $\alpha_i^{l_i} \beta_i e \eta$ is contained in $\text{Lie}_{\text{alg}}(X)$.

Denote by J_i the ideal $\mathbb{C}[X] \cap L_i$ and let $J = \bigcap_{i=1}^k J_i$. That is, for every $e \in J$ the field $\alpha_i^{l_i} \beta_i e \eta$ is contained in $\text{Lie}_{\text{alg}}(X)$ already for every index $i = 1, \dots, k$. Choosing (by the virtue of the Nullstellensatz) β_j so that $\sum_{j=1}^k \alpha_i^{l_i} \beta_j = 1$ we see that $e \eta$ is contained in $\text{Lie}_{\text{alg}}(X)$ which concludes the proof. $\square$

Corollary 8.2. *Let X and B be smooth affine algebraic varieties and let $\pi : X \rightarrow B$ be a Zariski locally trivial fibration with fiber Y admitting a nontrivial locally nilpotent vector field δ . Let $\alpha_i, i = 1, \dots, k$ be regular functions on B without common zeros such that $X_i := X \setminus \alpha_i \circ \pi^{-1}(0)$ is naturally isomorphic to the direct product $X_i = B_i \times Y$*

where $B_i = B \setminus \alpha_i^{-1}(0)$. Suppose that ξ_i , $i = 1, \dots, k$ are locally nilpotent vector fields on X such that

- (i) $\xi_i(\mathbb{C}[B]) \subset \mathbb{C}[B]$, i.e. ξ_i induces a locally nilpotent vector field ξ'_i on B ;
- (ii) $\alpha_i \in \text{Ker } \xi'_i$, i.e. ξ'_i is locally nilpotent on B_i ;
- (iii) ξ'_i admits a slice¹, and in particular it is nontrivial.

Then there exist a nontrivial locally nilpotent vector field η and a nontrivial ideal $J \subset \mathbb{C}[X]$ for which $J\eta \subset \text{Lie}_{\text{alg}}(X)$.

Proof. Note that δ induces a locally nilpotent vector field on X_1 whose kernel contains $\mathbb{C}[B \setminus \alpha_1^{-1}(0)]$. Multiplying this field by some power of α_1 we get a locally nilpotent vector field η on X with $\mathbb{C}[B] \subset \text{Ker } \eta$. Condition (i) implies that the $\mathbb{C}_+$ -action induced by ξ_i (resp. ξ'_i) on X (resp. B) makes π equivariant. Furthermore the existence of a section $R_i \subset B_i$ for ξ'_i implies that $\pi^{-1}(R_i)$ is a section of $\xi_i|_{X_i}$, and thus X_i is isomorphic to $\pi^{-1}(R_i) \times \mathbb{C}$ with $\xi_i|_{X_i}$ being a translation on the second factor. That is, the image of $\mathbb{C}[\pi^{-1}(R_i)]$ in $\mathbb{C}[X_i]$ under the natural embedding is contained in the kernel of $\xi_i|_{X_i}$. Since $\pi^{-1}(R_i) \simeq R_i \times Y$ we see that a trivialization $X_i \simeq B_i \times Y$ can be chosen so that $\xi_i|_{X_i}$ has $\mathbb{C}[Y]$ in its kernel. Hence ξ_i is semi-compatible with η . Furthermore, since ξ_i is nontrivial on B there exists an element $a_i \in \mathbb{C}[B] \setminus \text{Ker } \xi_i$ for which $\xi_i^2(a_i) = 0$. That is, the pair (ξ_i, η) is compatible on X_i and the desired conclusion follows from Theorem 8.1. □

9. $\mathbb{C}[X]$ -MODULES IN $\mathcal{C}_{n-2}(X)$

To deal with the difficult special case of $S/R \simeq SL_2/\mathbb{C}^*$ we need the next two facts which guarantee the existence of module generating pairs different from semi-compatible pairs.

Notation 9.1. Suppose that a smooth affine algebraic variety X of dimension n is equipped with an algebraic volume form ω . Let $\text{AVF}_\omega(X)$, $\mathcal{C}_k(X)$, $\mathcal{Z}_k(X)$ and $\mathcal{B}_k(X)$ be as in Notation 2.4. Recall that in this case there exists an isomorphism $\Theta : \text{AVF}_\omega(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_{n-1}(X)$ given by the formula $\xi \rightarrow \iota_\xi \omega$ where $\iota_\xi \omega$ is the interior product of ω and $\xi \in \text{AVF}_\omega(X)$.

Furthermore for any two fields σ and δ from $\text{AVF}_\omega(X)$ one has the following [10]

$$(1) \quad \iota_{[\sigma, \delta]} = d \iota_\sigma \iota_\delta \omega$$

where $d : \mathcal{C}_{n-2}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{n-1}(X)$ is the differential map.

Theorem 9.2. Let Notation 9.1 hold and $\pi : X \rightarrow B$ be a surjective morphism of smooth affine algebraic varieties, i.e. $\mathbb{C}[B]$ is naturally embedded into $\mathbb{C}[X]$. Suppose that $\eta \in \text{IVF}_\omega(X)$ is such that $\mathbb{C}[B] \subset \text{Ker } \eta$. Let α_i , $i = 1, \dots, k$ be regular functions on B without common zeros and $D_i = (\alpha_i \circ \pi)^{-1}(0)$. Suppose that ξ_i , $i = 1, \dots, k$ are fields from $\text{IVF}_\omega(X)$ such that $\alpha_i \in \text{Ker } \xi_i$ (and thus ξ_i is tangent to D_i) and the pair (ξ_i, η) is semi-compatible on $X_i = X \setminus D_i$. Suppose also that

¹That is, B_i is isomorphic to $R_i \times \mathbb{C}$ and the action is nothing but a translation on the second factor.

(*) these fields $\{\xi_i\}_{i=1}^k$ generate a $\mathbb{C}[X]$ -module N such that for every point $x \in X$ the localization of N at x coincides with the localization of the module generated by the fields $\{\xi_j | \alpha_j(x) \neq 0\}$.

Then $d^{-1}(\Theta(\text{Lie}_{\text{alg}}^\omega(X)))$ contains a $\mathbb{C}[X]$ -submodule of $\mathcal{C}_{n-2}(X)$ that consists of all $(n-2)$ -forms that can be presented as

$$\tau = \iota_\zeta \iota_\eta \omega$$

with ζ running over JN for some nontrivial ideal J in $\mathbb{C}[X]$.

Proof. Let $I_i \subset \mathbb{C}[X_i]$ be the associate ideal of the semi-compatible pair (ξ_i, η) . That is, every $h \in I_i$ can be presented as $h = \sum_j f_j g_j$ where $f_j \in \text{Ker } \xi_i$ and $g_j \in \text{Ker } \eta$. As in the proof of Theorem 8.1 we note that for some natural $l_i = l_i(h)$ one has $\alpha_i^{l_i} h = \sum_{j=1}^n f_j g_j$ with $f_j \in \text{Ker } \xi_i \subset \mathbb{C}[X]$ and $g_j \in \text{Ker } \eta \subset \mathbb{C}[X]$. Note that $f_j \xi_i$ and $g_j \eta$ are still fields from $\text{IVF}_\omega(X)$.

Recall that for a differential form τ , a regular function f , and a vector field ζ on X one has the following property of the interior product: $\iota_{f\zeta} \tau = f \iota_\zeta \tau$. Hence letting $\sigma = f_j \xi_i$ and $\delta = g_j \eta$ in Formula (1) we see that $f_j g_j \iota_{\xi_i} \iota_\eta \omega$ is contained in $d^{-1}(\Theta(\text{Lie}_{\text{alg}}^\omega(X)))$, and therefore

$$(2) \quad \alpha_i^{l_i} h \iota_{\xi_i} \iota_\eta \omega \in d^{-1}(\Theta(\text{Lie}_{\text{alg}}^\omega(X))).$$

In the case when h belongs to the ideal $J = \bigcap_{i=1}^k (I_i \cap \mathbb{C}[X])$ Formula (2) holds for every index i .

Consider the set N' of all linear combinations of forms from Formula (2) with h running over J and i changing from 1 to k . Replacing every g_j by βg_j with $\beta \in \mathbb{C}[B]$ (i.e. βg_j is still in $\text{Ker } \eta$) we see that for every $\tau \in N'$ one has $\beta \tau \in N'$; that is, N' is a $\mathbb{C}[B]$ -module. Furthermore, every element of N' can be presented as $\iota_\zeta \iota_\eta \omega$ where ζ is a vector field of form $\zeta = \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i^{l_i} h_i \xi_i$ with $h_i \in J$. Such fields ζ form a $\mathbb{C}[B]$ -module L .

We need to prove the equality $L = JN$. Let $\mathcal{N}$ and $\mathcal{L}$ be quasi-coherent sheaves over B generated by N and L respectively. Since by [6, Chapter 2, Corollary 5.5] there is an equivalence between categories of $\mathbb{C}[B]$ -modules and of quasi-coherent sheaves over B it suffices to check the equality $\mathcal{L} = J\mathcal{N}$. Note that if $\alpha_i(x) \neq 0$ then the fact $\alpha_i^{l_i} h \xi_i \in L$ implies that $h \xi_i \in \mathcal{L}$. Furthermore, by Condition (*) $J\mathcal{N}$ is generated by the germs of such vector fields. This implies the equality $\mathcal{L} = J\mathcal{N}$ and hence the desired conclusion. $\square$

Corollary 9.3. *Let $\pi : X \rightarrow B$, Y , δ , α_i , $i = 1, \dots, k$, B_i , and $X_i := X \setminus \alpha_i \circ \pi^{-1}(0) \simeq B_i \times Y$ be as in Corollary 8.2. Suppose that π is equivariant with respect to some actions of a semi-simple group S on X and on B . Let ξ_i , $i = 1, \dots, k$ be a collection of locally nilpotent vector fields on X induced the actions of one-parameter unipotent subgroups of S such that conditions (i)-(iii) from Corollary 8.2 are valid. Let N be the $\mathbb{C}[X]$ -module generated by $\{\xi_i\}_{i=1}^k$. Suppose that*

(*) for every $x \in X$ the subspace N_x of $T_x X$ generated by the values of N at x coincides with the subspace generated by the values of the fields $\{\xi_j | \alpha_j(x) \neq 0\}$.

Then in the case when X is equipped with a volume form ω the set $d^{-1}(\Theta(\text{Lie}_{\text{alg}}^\omega(X)))$ contains a $\mathbb{C}[X]$ -submodule of $\mathcal{C}_{n-2}(X)$ that consists of all $(n-2)$ -forms that can be

presented as

$$\tau = \iota_\zeta \iota_\eta \omega$$

with ζ running over JN for some nontrivial ideal J in $\mathbb{C}[X]$.

Proof. As in the proof of Corollary 8.2 we see that δ generates a locally nilpotent vector field η on X with $\mathbb{C}[B] \subset \text{Ker } \eta$. Hence the semi-compatibility of each ξ_i with η follows as before from the fact that a trivialization $X_i \simeq B_i \times Y$ can be chosen so that the restriction of ξ_i to X_i has $\mathbb{C}[Y]$ in its kernel. Note also that Condition $(\star)$ implies Condition $(*)$ in Theorem 9.2 which yields the desired conclusion. $\square$

10. AVDP FOR AFFINE HOMOGENEOUS SPACES, THE SPECIAL CASE

Notation 10.1. We keep Notation 4.10 in this section. Until Theorem 10.6 we suppose that $M = S \times T$ where $S = SL_2$ and $R = L \cap S$ is a proper connected nontrivial reductive subgroup in SL_2 , i.e. $R \simeq \mathbb{C}^*$. Furthermore we suppose that V is not contained in U (because otherwise by Remark 4.3 we are in a case considered before).

Lemma 10.2. *Let H' and Γ be as in Remark 4.9. Then Γ is trivial, i.e. $H' = H$.*

Proof. Recall that for under the natural homomorphism $\psi : G \rightarrow T$ one has $\psi(L) = T_0$ and $\text{Ker } \psi|_L = R$. Hence $L = R \times T_0$ (since $M = S \times T$). Suppose that L' is as in Remark 4.9 and $R' = L' \cap S$. Consider an element $l' = r't' \in L'$ where $r' \in S$ and $t' \in T$. Multiplying l' by an element of T_0 we can suppose that $t' \in T_1$. Since L is a normal subgroup of L' (i.e. $(l')^{-1}Ll' = L$) we see that r' belongs to the normalizer $N_S(R)$ of R in $S \simeq SL_2$ and since R can be viewed as the subgroup of diagonal matrices in SL_2 we see that $N(R)/R \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2$. Hence l' is contained in $N_S(R)T_1$. The subgroup $\psi(L') \cap T_1$ is finite and replacing T_1 by the torus $T_1/(\psi(L') \cap T_1)$ we can suppose that it is trivial. Hence $l' \in N_S(R)$ and simultaneously $l' \in R' = L' \cap S$. Thus $\Gamma = L'/L$ is isomorphic to R'/R and depending on whether $R' = R$ or $R' = N_S(R)$ we get Γ either trivial or isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_2$. Thus it suffices to show that $R' = R$.

Let $V_0 = V \cap U$ and let L_0 be the image of V under the natural homomorphism $G \rightarrow G/U = M = S \times T$. By Notation 10.1 $V_0 \neq V$ and L_0 is nontrivial, and it is also unipotent. In particular it must be contained in S and since the only nontrivial unipotent subgroup of SL_2 is $\mathbb{C}_+$ we suppose that $L_0 \simeq \mathbb{C}_+$.

Since V_0 is a normal subgroup of H one has $rV_0r^{-1} = V_0$ for every $r \in R$ (resp. $r \in R'$). Applying the natural morphism $G \rightarrow S$ we get $rL_0r^{-1} = L_0$.

Therefore the Lie algebra of L_0 consists of eigenvectors for the adjoint action of R . Treating R as the group of diagonal matrices in SL_2 we see that the only eigenvalues of the adjoint action on $\mathcal{SL}_2$ are matrices with only nonzero entries in the upper right (resp. lower left) corner. Hence we can also treat L_0 as the subgroup of the upper unipotent matrices and R as the subgroup of the diagonal matrices. However for $r' \in N_S(R) \setminus R$ the equality $rL_0r^{-1} = L_0$ does not hold, i.e. $R = R'$. Thus we have the desired conclusion. $\square$

We need the following technical fact.

Lemma 10.3. *Let $R \simeq \mathbb{C}^*$ (resp. $F \simeq \mathbb{C}_+$) be the group of diagonal (resp. lower unipotent) matrices*

$$\lambda = \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \quad (\text{resp. } \alpha = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ t & 1 \end{bmatrix})$$

in SL_2 . Then

(1) *the homogeneous space $K = SL_2/R$ is naturally isomorphic to the surface $\{xy = z^2 - z\} \subset \mathbb{C}_{x,y,z}^3$;*

(2) *the left multiplication by elements α of F induces the $\mathbb{C}_+$ -action on K given by*

$$(x, y, z) \rightarrow (x, y + (2z - 1)t + xt^2, z + xt).$$

In particular, this action preserves subvarieties $K^i = K \setminus \{x = z - i = 0\} \simeq \mathbb{C}_{x,y}^2$ for $i = 0, 1$.

Proof. Consider the element

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_1 & a_2 \\ b_1 & b_2 \end{bmatrix} \in SL_2$$

and note that the right multiplication by λ yields the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} aa_1 & a^{-1}a_2 \\ ab_1 & a^{-1}b_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Note that functions $x := a_1a_2$, $y := b_1b_2$, and $z := a_1b_2$ generate the invariant subring of $\mathbb{C}[Y]$ (with respect to the $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action on K associated with right multiplications by elements of R) and the equality $a_1b_2 - a_2b_1 = 1$ yields $xy = z^2 - z$. The rest is the direct computation. $\square$

Notation 10.4. Consider functions x, y, z on K from Lemma 10.3. They generate functions on $M/L = K \times T_1$ and on any other variety over K which by abuse of notation we denote by the same symbols.

Lemma 10.5. *Let $\tilde{K}^i = \theta^{-1}(K^i \times T_1)$ (recall that by Remark 5.1 $M/L \simeq S/R \times T_1 = K \times T_1$ where K is from Lemma 10.3). Let $\mathcal{S}^0$ (resp. $\mathcal{S}^1$) be given by equations $y = z - 1 = 0$ (resp. $y = z = 0$) in M/L , i.e. $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}^i := \theta^{-1}(\mathcal{S}_i)$ is contained in $\tilde{K}^i$. Then*

(1) *there are natural isomorphisms $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}^i \simeq \mathcal{S}^i \times Y$ and $\tilde{K}^i \simeq \mathbb{C}_y \times \tilde{\mathcal{S}}^i \simeq \mathbb{C}^2 \times Y$, such that the restriction of the action of the group $F \subset SL_2$ (of lower unipotent matrices) to $\tilde{K}^i$ is a translation along the factor $\mathbb{C}_y$ (i.e. $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}^i$ is a slice of this action);*

(2) *morphism $\theta : X \rightarrow M/L$ is a Zariski locally trivial fibration with fiber Y ;*

(3) *For every point $p \in X$ there is an element $s \in SL_2$ such that after the left multiplication by s one has $s.p \in \tilde{K}^0$.*

Proof. Exchanging the role of x and y in Lemma 10.3 one gets $\mathbb{C}_+$ -action on K given by

$$(x, y, z) \rightarrow (x + (2z - 1)t + yt^2, y, z + xt).$$

Since it is induced by left multiplications by the group F' of upper unipotent matrices it generates also a $\mathbb{C}_+$ -action on X such that $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}^i$ is invariant under it. For

isomorphism $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}^i \simeq \mathcal{S}^i \times Y$ it remains to note that, say, $\{x = y = z - 1 = 0\} \subset \tilde{\mathcal{S}}^0$ is isomorphic to Y and it is a slice of the restriction of the $\mathbb{C}_+$ -action on $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}^0$.

For isomorphism $\tilde{K}^i \simeq \mathbb{C}_y \times \tilde{\mathcal{S}}^i$ it suffices to note that $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}^i$ is a slice of the F -action on $\tilde{K}^i$ because, say, the line $\{y = z - 1 = 0\} \subset K$ is a slice of the F -action on the surface $K^0 \simeq \mathbb{C}^2$. Thus we have (1).

Statement (2) follows from the fact that $K^0 \cup K^1$ coincides with K .

Furthermore, K is transitive with respect to the group of algebraic automorphisms generated by elements of the F and F' -actions (e.g., see [11]). This yields (3). $\square$

Now we can prove our main result.

Theorem 10.6. *Let X be an affine homogeneous space of a linear algebraic group G . Suppose that X has a left-invariant (with respect to the natural G -action) volume form ω . Then X has AVDP with respect to ω .*

Proof. By Corollary 7.3 it suffices to consider the case when $S = SL_2$ and $R \simeq \mathbb{C}^*$. Furthermore by Lemma 10.2 Γ is trivial and $H = H'$.

Thus in order to establish AVDP we need to check Conditions (A) and (B) of Theorem 2.5 with trivial Γ -action on $X = G/H$. Condition (B) is valid by Proposition 6.1 and to prove (A) one has to find a nonzero element in $\mathcal{W}_1$ from Lemma 5.5, i.e. to establish existence of a module generating pair of vector fields on Z where Z is a fiber of $\varphi : X \rightarrow T_1$.

By Lemma 10.5 $\theta : X \rightarrow M/L$ is a locally trivial Zariski fibration with fiber Y is required in Corollary 8.2. Consider the action of the group F from Lemma 10.5 and a locally nilpotent vector field ξ on X generated by it. Since θ is equivariant with respect to this action the field ξ satisfies Condition (i) from Corollary 8.2 while Conditions (ii) and (iii) follow from Lemma 10.5 (with function x playing the role of α_i).

Conjugating the F action by an element $s \in SL_2$ we get another locally nilpotent vector field ξ_j on X with similar properties but such that by Lemma 10.5 the corresponding function α_j does not vanish at a given point $p \in X$. Hence we can choose a finite number of such fields with no common zeros for functions α_j . Hence all assumptions of Corollary 8.2 are true.

Furthermore, conjugation by elements of SL_2 enables us to choose this collection $\{\xi_j\}$ of fields so that at every point $p \in X$ those of them that have $\alpha_j(p) \neq 0$ generate the same subspace of $T_p X$ as the whole collection. That is, Condition $(\star)$ from Corollary 9.3 is satisfied. Hence for ξ (as for any ξ_j) the pair (ξ, η) is module generating where η is as in Corollary 9.3. Hence $\mathcal{W}_1$ is not empty and we are done. $\square$

11. ADP FOR AFFINE HOMOGENEOUS SPACES

The following fact can be found in [7].

Proposition 11.1. *Let $\{(\xi_j, \eta_j)\}$ be a collection of compatible pairs of vector fields on X and let I_j be the associated ideal of the pair (ξ_j, η_j) . Suppose that for every*

$x \in X$ the values of $\{I_j(x)\eta_j\}$ at this point generate $T_x X$ where $I_j(x)$ is the set of values of I_j at x . Then $\text{Lie}(X) = \text{AVF}(X)$, i.e. X has ADP.

Remark 11.2. (1) Note that if X is homogeneous space that it suffices to require that the values of $\{\eta_j\}$ generate $T_x X$ only for one point $x \in X$. Furthermore, if the isotropy subgroup of x in the group of algebraic automorphisms acts irreducibly on $T_x X$ it is enough to find one compatible pair (ξ, η) such that the field $I\eta$ does not vanish at x .

(2) It is worth mentioning that similarly to Remark 2.9 the requirement about compatibility of pairs (ξ_j, η_j) is needed only to guarantee that $\text{Lie}_{\text{alg}}(X)$ contains the $\mathbb{C}[X]$ -modules $I_j\eta$. Thus one can replace the compatibility requirement in Proposition 11.1 by the assumption that $I_j\eta$ is contained in $\text{Lie}_{\text{alg}}(X)$.

Notation 11.3. The set of complete Γ -invariant algebraic vector fields will be denoted by $\text{IVF}(X, \Gamma)$ and the Lie algebra generated by it by $\text{Lie}_{\text{alg}}(X, \Gamma)$.

Definition 11.4. Let X be a Γ -variety. Note that the fact that X/Γ has ADP is equivalent to the fact that $\text{Lie}_{\text{alg}}(X, \Gamma) = \text{AVF}(X, \Gamma)$. In the case of the last equality we say that X has Γ -ADP.

Thus we have the following.

Proposition 11.5. (1) Let X be a Γ -variety homogeneous with respect to an action of linear algebraic group G which commutes with the action of Γ . Suppose that $\{(\xi_j, \eta_j)\}$ is a collection of Γ -compatible pairs of vector fields on X such that at some $x \in X$ the values of $\{\eta_j\}$ at this point generate $T_x X$. Then X has Γ -ADP.

(2) Furthermore, if in (1) the isotropy subgroup of x in the group of algebraic automorphisms that commute with the Γ -action acts irreducibly on $T_x X$ then for Γ -ADP of X it suffices to find a Γ -compatible pair (ξ, η) such that the field η does not vanish at x .

Lemma 11.6. Let $W = X \times Y$ where X is as in Proposition 11.5 (2) and Y and W be Γ -varieties for which the projections $W \rightarrow X$ and $W \rightarrow Y$ are Γ -equivariant. Suppose that (ξ, η) is as in Proposition 11.5 (2) (i.e. X has Γ -ADP) and at any point $y \in Y$ the values of Γ -invariant complete algebraic vector fields generate $T_y Y$. Then W has Γ -ADP.

Proof. Consider a point $w := (x, y) \in W$ and the tangent space $T_w W = T_x X \oplus T_y Y$. By Proposition 11.5 we have Γ -compatible pairs $\{(\xi_i, \eta_i)\}$ on X (generated by application of the isotropy group to (ξ, η)) such that the fields $\{\eta_i\}$ generate $T_x X \subset T_w W$. Consider now any Γ -invariant complete field ν on Y and the induced pair (ξ, ν) on $W = X \times Y$. By Example 2.3 it is Γ -compatible which implies the desired conclusion. $\square$

Theorem 11.7. Let X' be a connected affine homogeneous space of a linear algebraic group G . Suppose that X' is not isomorphic to a line or a torus $(\mathbb{C}^*)^k$. Then X' has ADP.

Proof. As in Remark 4.9 we can suppose that $X' = X/\Gamma$ where X satisfies Convention 4.8. Recall that by Proposition 5.2 (3) X is isomorphic to $Z \times T_1$ and the G -action

on X commutes with the Γ -action. Note also that the Γ -action preserves the fibers of the natural projections $X \rightarrow Z$ and $X \rightarrow T_1$, i.e. we can view these projections as Γ -equivariant. Thus by Proposition 11.5 and Lemma 11.6 it suffices to find a Γ -compatible pair (ξ, η) on the fiber Z of morphism $\varphi : X \rightarrow T_1$ (recall that Z is a homogeneous space of the group $E = S \ltimes U$). As in Propositions 3.4 and 3.5 we can check that the isotropy subgroup of a given point $z \in Z$ in the group of automorphism that commute with Γ acts irreducibly on $T_z Z$. Hence if S/R is not isomorphic to $SL_2/\mathbb{C}^*$ (as in Proposition 7.1) the existence of such a Γ -compatible pair follows from Proposition 7.1.

Thus consider the case when $M = S = SL_2$ and $L \simeq \mathbb{C}^*$ (recall that then Γ is trivial by Lemma 10.2). As we mentioned X is naturally isomorphic to $Z \times T_1$. Since at every point $t \in T_1$ complete algebraic vector fields generate the tangent space of T_1 at t , by Lemma 11.6 it suffices to check ADP for Z which is a Zariski locally trivial Y -fibration over S/R . Recall that Y admits a nontrivial locally nilpotent vector field by Proposition 4.13. Thus by Corollary 8.2 there is a nontrivial locally nilpotent vector field η on Z for which $\mathbb{C}[S/R]$ is contained in $\text{Ker } \eta$.

Furthermore, as we checked in the proof of Theorem 10.6 there is a collection $\{\xi_j\}$ of locally nilpotent vector fields and functions $\{\alpha_j\}$ satisfying all assumptions of Corollary 8.2. Hence $\text{Lie}_{\text{alg}}(X)$ contains all field of form $J\eta$ for some nontrivial ideal $J \subset \mathbb{C}[Z]$. Since Z is homogeneous Remark 11.2 (1)-(2) implies that Z has ADP and we are done. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] I. Arzhantsev, H. Flenner, S. Kaliman, F. Kutzschebauch, M. Zaidenberg, *Flexible varieties and automorphism groups*, Duke Math. J. 162 (2013), no. 4, 767-823.
- [2] T. Bandman, L. Makar-Limanov, *Non-stability of the AK-invariant*, Michigan Math. J. **53** (2005) 263-281.
- [3] A. J. Crachiola, L. Makar-Limanov, *An algebraic proof of a cancellation theorem for surfaces*, J. Algebra **320** (2008), no. 8, 3113 - 3119.
- [4] F. Donzelli, A. Dvorsky, S. Kaliman, *Algebraic density property of homogeneous spaces*, Transform. Groups, **15:3** (2010) 551-576.
- [5] F. Forstnerič, *Stein Manifolds and Holomorphic Mappings. The Homotopy Principle in Complex Analysis*, Springer, Heidelberg Dordrecht London New York, 2011.
- [6] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic Geometry*, Springer-Verlag, New York Berlin Heidelberg London, 1977, 496p.
- [7] S. Kaliman, F. Kutzschebauch, *Criteria for the density property of complex manifolds*, Invent. Math. **172** (2008), no. 1, 71-87.
- [8] S. Kaliman, F. Kutzschebauch, *On the present state of the Andersen-Lempert theory*, Affine algebraic geometry, 85122, CRM Proc. Lecture Notes, 54, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2011.
- [9] S. Kaliman, F. Kutzschebauch, *Algebraic volume density property of affine algebraic manifolds*, Invent. Math. **181:3** (2010) 605-647.
- [10] S. Kaliman, F. Kutzschebauch, *On algebraic volume density property*, to appear in Transform. Groups, arXiv:1201.4769
- [11] L. Makar-Limanov, *On groups of automorphisms of a class of surfaces*, Israel J. Math. **69** (1990), no. 2, 250-256.

- [12] Y. Matsushima, *Espaces homogènes de Stein des groupes de Lie complexes. I*, Nagoya Math. J. **16** (1960) 205-218.
- [13] G. D. Mostow, *Fully reducible subgroups of algebraic groups*, Amer. J. Math. **78** (1956), 200–221.
- [14] J.-P. Rosay, *Automorphisms of $\mathbb{C}^n$, a survey of Andersén-Lempert theory and applications*, Contemp. Math., **222**, AMS, Providence, 1999.
- [15] D. Snow, *The role of exotic affine spaces in the classification of homogeneous affine varieties*, Algebraic Transformation Groups and Algebraic Varieties, Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, volume 3, Springer-Verlag, 2004.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MIAMI, CORAL GABLES, FL 33124 USA
E-mail address: kaliman@math.miami.edu

MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT, UNIVERSITÄT BERN, SIDLERSTR. 5, CH-3012 BERN, SWITZERLAND
E-mail address: Frank.Kutzschebauch@math.unibe.ch